

Update: Crime Victim Rights Manual (Revised Edition)

CHAPTER 8

The Crime Victim at Trial

8.14 Former Testimony of Unavailable Witness

C. Defendant's Right to Confront the Witnesses Against Him or Her

Insert the following text after the January 2006 update to page 264:

In *People v Jones*, ___ Mich App ___, ___ (2006), the Court first affirmed that the admission of an unavailable witness's testimonial statement does not violate the Confrontation Clause if the defendant caused the witness to be unavailable. Concurring with *United States v Cromer*, 389 F3d 662 (CA 6, 2004), the *Jones* Court determined that because the witness's unavailability was procured by the defendant's wrongdoing, the defendant forfeited his constitutional right to confront that witness. In *Jones*, the only eyewitness to a shooting identified the defendant as the shooter in a statement to police. However, the witness refused to testify at trial regarding defendant's involvement in the shooting. At a separate hearing regarding his refusal to testify, the witness stated "that he feared retribution if he testified, particularly because certain individuals were present in the courtroom." *Jones, supra* at _____. The trial court admitted the witness's statement to police into evidence under MRE 804(b)(6). The Court of Appeals rejected defendant's assertion that the prosecutor failed to establish that defendant "engaged in or encouraged wrongdoing that was intended to, and did, procure the unavailability of the declarant as a witness," as required by MRE 804(b)(6). The Court of Appeals concluded that evidence that members of a gang to which defendant belonged threatened the witness satisfied the rule's requirements.